Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/573,888	TSUDA, HIROYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HUNG LAM	2883	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) Hung Lam (USPTO Patent Examiner).	(3) William Brooks (Applie	cant's Attorney).	
(2) Charlie Peng (USPTO Primary Examiner).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 March 2010	Time: <u>2:55 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1,3, 9 and 11 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the sui	bstance
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if approp	priate)

Application No. 10/573,888

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the Applicant/Altorney authorized the Examiner to do Examiner Amendment in order to keep the consistency of claimed language(s) in claims 1, 3, 9 and 11. The application will be amended as follow: claimed limitation of "plurality of groove structures" in claims 1, 3, 9 and 11, is/are amended to "plurality of lens-shaped groove structures" and "plurality of wedge-shaped groove structures" (Please refer to the Office Action for details). This Examiner amendment also puts the application in a better condition for allowance.